ARTICLE EIGHT
EDUCATION REFORM

A. School-Based Management/Shared Decision-Making (SBM / SDM)

The Union and the Board agree that SBM / SDM is a process in which all members of the school community collaborate in identifying issues, defining goals, formulating policy and implementing programs. The uniqueness of each school community requires that the SBM / SDM process and the organizational and instructional issues discussed are determined by the staff, parents, administration and students (where appropriate) at individual schools through the SBM / SDM team. The Union and the Board agree that in order to achieve SBM / SDM at the school level significant restructuring of instruction must occur, and the parties agree to work cooperatively in an effort to bring about these changes.

1. Eligibility and Involvement

   a. All schools are eligible to apply for participation in SBM / SDM. School participation shall be voluntary and subject to approval by fifty-five (55) percent of the voting, non-supervisory school based staff (e.g., teachers, paraprofessionals, support staff and others) and agreement of the principal, the appropriate superintendent and parents. Similarly, schools involved in SBM / SDM may choose to opt out of the program at any time. The decision to opt out shall be voluntary and subject to approval by at least fifty-five (55) percent of the voting, non-supervisory school based staff.

   b. All votes of non-supervisory school based staff concerning participating in SBM / SDM shall be conducted by the UFT chapter.

   c. Schools involved in SBM / SDM shall conduct ongoing self-evaluation and modify the program as needed.

2. SBM / SDM Teams

   a. Based upon a peer selection process, participating schools shall establish an SBM / SDM team. For schools that come into the program after September 1993, the composition will be determined at the local level. Any schools with a team in place as of September 1993 will have an opportunity each October to revisit the composition of its team.

   b. The UFT chapter leader shall be a member of the SBM / SDM team.

   c. Each SBM / SDM team shall determine the range of issues it will address and the decision-making process it will use.

3. Staff Development

   The Board shall be responsible for making available appropriate staff development, technical assistance and support requested by schools involved in SBM / SDM, as well as schools expressing an interest in future involvement in the program. The content and design of centrally offered staff development and technical assistance programs shall be developed in consultation with the Union.

4. Waivers

   a. Requests for waivers of existing provisions of this Agreement or Board regulations must be approved in accordance with the procedure set forth in Article Eight B (School Based Options) of this Agreement i.e. approval of fifty-five (55) percent of those UFT chapter members voting and agreement of the school principal, UFT district representative, appropriate superintendent, the President of the Union and the Chancellor.
b. Waivers or modifications of existing provisions of this Agreement or Board regulations applied for by schools participating in SBM / SDM are not limited to those areas set forth in Article Eight B (School-Based Options) of this Agreement.

c. Existing provisions of this Agreement and Board regulations not specifically modified or waived, as provided above, shall continue in full force and effect in all SBM / SDM schools.

d. In schools that vote to opt out of SBM / SDM, continuation of waivers shall be determined jointly by the President of the Union and the Chancellor.

e. All School-Based Option votes covered by this Agreement, including those in Circular 6R, shall require an affirmative vote of fifty-five percent (55%) of those voting.

B. School-Based Options

The Union chapter in a school and the principal may agree to modify the existing provisions of this Agreement or Board regulations concerning class size, rotation of assignments/classes, teacher schedules and/or rotation of paid coverages for the entire school year. By the May preceding the year in which the proposal will be in effect, the proposal will be submitted for ratification in the school in accordance with Union procedures which will require approval of fifty-five (55) percent of those voting. Resources available to the school shall be maintained at the same level which would be required if the proposal were not in effect. The Union District Representative, the President of the Union, the appropriate Superintendent and the Chancellor must approve the proposal and should be kept informed as the proposal is developed. The proposal will be in effect for one school year.

Should problems arise in the implementation of the proposal and no resolution is achieved at the school level, the District Representative and the Superintendent will attempt to resolve the problem. If they are unable to do so, it will be resolved by the Chancellor and the Union President. Issues arising under this provision are not subject to the grievance and arbitration procedures of the Agreement.

C. School Allocations

Before the end of June and by the opening of school in September, to involve faculties and foster openness about the use of resources, the principal shall meet with the chapter leader and UFT chapter committee to discuss, explain and seek input on the use of the school allocations. As soon as they are available, copies of the school allocations will be provided to the chapter leader and UFT chapter committee.

Any budgetary modifications regarding the use of the school allocations shall be discussed by the principal and chapter committee.

D. Students’ Grades

The teacher’s judgment in grading students is to be respected; therefore if the principal changes a student’s grade in any subject for a grading period, the principal shall notify the teacher of the reason for the change in writing.

E. Lesson Plan Format

The development of lesson plans by and for the use of the teacher is a professional responsibility vital to effective teaching. The organization, format, notation and other physical aspects of the lesson plan are appropriately within the discretion of each teacher. A principal or supervisor may suggest, but not require, a particular format or organization, except as part of a program to improve deficiencies of teachers who receive U-ratings or formal warnings.
F. Joint Efforts

The Board of Education and the Union recognize that a sound educational program requires not only the efficient use of existing resources but also constant experimentation with new methods and organization. The Union agrees that experimentation presupposes flexibility in assigning and programming pedagogical and other professional personnel. Hence, the Union will facilitate its members’ voluntary participation in new ventures that may depart from usual procedures. The Board agrees that educational experimentation will be consistent with the standards of working conditions prescribed in this Agreement.

The Board and the Union will continue to participate in joint efforts to promote staff integration.

The parties will meet with a view toward drafting their collective bargaining agreements to reflect and embody provisions appropriate to the new and/or nontraditional school program organizational structures that have developed in the last several years, including as a result of this Agreement.

G. Professional Support for New Teachers

The Union and the Board agree that all teachers new to the New York City Public Schools are entitled to collegial support as soon as they commence service. The New Teacher Staff Development Program and Mentor Teacher-Intern Program provide this support, enabling new teachers to expand their range of instructional skills and strategies, and to become actively involved in the life of their school.

1. New Teacher Staff Development Program

a. For uncertified teachers without prior teaching experience there will be ten (10) mandatory days of staff development. Five (5) of these days will take place in the summer prior to the new teacher’s employment and the remaining five (5) will take place during the teacher’s first year of service.

b. For certified teachers without prior teaching experience there will be five (5) mandatory days of staff development. Three (3) of these days will take place in the summer prior to the new teacher’s employment and the remaining two (2) will take place during the first year of teaching.

c. For teachers who have three (3) or more years of approved outside teaching experience there will be three (3) mandatory days of staff development in the summer prior to the new teacher’s employment.

d. uncertified teachers without prior teaching experience hired after the beginning of the school year will be provided with three (3) days of mandatory staff development before they begin their actual teaching assignment and will be required to complete one (1) day for each remaining two (2) months of the school year.

e. Certified teachers without prior teaching experience hired after the beginning of the school year will be provided with three (3) days of mandatory staff development before they begin their actual teaching assignment and will be required to complete one (1) day for each remaining two (2) months of the school year up to a maximum of two (2) days.

f. Teachers who have three (3) or more years of approved outside teaching experience hired after the beginning of the school year will be provided with three (3) days of mandatory staff development before they begin their actual teaching assignment.

g. The content and design of this professional development program for new teachers shall be developed collaboratively by the Union and the Board and will take into account varying needs of new teachers based upon their educational and professional
backgrounds. As an additional option the Union and the Board agree to develop criteria for college courses that can be credited toward fulfillment of the first year professional development requirement in order to coordinate services and requirements for new teachers.

h. There shall be an expense stipend for each day of mandatory professional development for new teachers paid at the rate per day set forth below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>$33.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective December 1, 2003</td>
<td>$33.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective December 1, 2004</td>
<td>$34.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective October 1, 2006</td>
<td>$35.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Provisions for payment of this stipend shall not apply to persons opting for the above mentioned college courses.

2. Mentor Teacher Intern Program

a. The Mentor Teacher Intern Program is designed to improve instruction for children in the New York City Public Schools by supporting the development of beginning teachers. At the core of the program is the relationship that develops between the skilled, experienced teacher (mentor) and the uncertified colleague (intern). This relationship provides a forum for the uncertified teacher to identify issues that form the basis of his or her professional development. For the mentor, it provides the opportunity to share years of accumulated skills and experience.

b. In accordance with state law and regulations: time will be provided for mentor-intern interaction; information shared between the mentor and the intern must remain confidential; and the building principal/supervisor does not rate teachers in their role as mentor or intern. Specific details will be jointly agreed upon by the Union and the Board.

c. All mentors are required to teach a minimum of one (1) period daily. Mentors are selected and matched to one (1) or more intern(s) by the Mentor Advisory Selection Committee. Full-time mentors serving in more than one building shall maintain seniority and the right of return to the site in which they previously served.

d. The Mentor Advisory Selection Committee is a 12 member committee with a majority of teachers who are selected by the Union. Its responsibilities include setting policy with regard to the Mentor Teacher-Intern Program, selecting mentors for recommendation to the appropriate superintendent, matching mentor-intern teams, requesting variances and coordinating district staff development for mentors and interns. The committee selects its chairperson through consensus. Operating procedures for the Mentor Advisory Selection Committee shall be jointly determined by the Union and the Board.

e. The assignment of a mentor to an intern shall be made at the commencement of service but no later than the 20th day of service to the maximum extent possible. Exceptions will be made for those whose service commences after agreed upon dates, annually determined by the Union and the Board.

f. All newly hired, uncertified teachers who do not possess the minimum number of education credits and student teaching/required experience necessary for a regular license shall participate in the Mentor Teacher-Intern Program for one (1) year. This program shall be provided by a mentor.
The parties will jointly request on an annual basis a waiver of State Education Commissioner’s Regulation 80.18 to offer in satisfaction of the State Mentoring requirement for uncertified teachers a cost effective, substantive, high quality, professional development program as provided in Special Circular No. 14, 1992-93 dated September 30, 1992.

g. A mentor is a skilled, experienced colleague who has chosen to share his or her expertise by assisting the intern. A mentor has five (5) or more years experience and possesses permanent certification in the same or related license area as the intern. Preference in selecting mentors may be given to teachers who have served three (3) of the last five (5) years in their present school. Teachers who have transferred through the UFT Transfer Plan or teachers who have been excessed into the school may use previous school experience to qualify for three (3) years in the current school.

h. The Union and the Board agree to collaboratively develop a professional development program for mentors.

3. Professional Support Periods and Assignments

a. Guidelines for the use of professional support periods for new teachers will be established. Under the direction of the principal, their use will include but not be limited to activities supportive of upgrading instruction such as: observation of classes conducted by experienced teachers, consultation with others familiar with such areas as instructional strategies in the classroom, planning, curriculum and behavior management.

b. During the first year of employment of a teacher who has not had previous professional employment as a teacher, two preparation periods per week shall be designated as professional support periods.

c. For uncertified teachers being mentored during their second year of employment, two preparation periods per week shall continue to be designated as professional support periods exclusively for the purpose of mentoring.

d. During the first year of employment, a new teacher will be exempt from the generally applicable policy of rotation of teaching assignments. If the number of new teachers makes it impractical to apply this provision, an alternative to this approach may be implemented if jointly approved by the Board and the Union. Guidelines for this purpose will be issued by the Chancellor after consultation with the Union. New teachers may not volunteer or be assigned to coverages during their professional activity periods.

H. Professional Development and Second Differential

As one aspect of the parties’ interest in professional development, a joint Board-Union committee comprised of three (3) designees of the UFT President and three (3) designees of the Chancellor was established to expand and professionalize available staff development opportunities. As a priority, the joint committee focused on new professional development opportunities for teachers seeking their second differential, and for other pedagogues seeking a comparable differential, and made its initial recommendations to the Chancellor. It is the intention of the parties to make such opportunities available to staff at no or modest cost, and with no additional cost to the employer. The Chancellor may establish limits on the number of these credits which can be earned per term toward the second differential via this professional development initiative (the P-credit program). It is understood that the Chancellor’s decisions about all aspects of the Committee’s recommendations, the design of the P-credit program and regulations for implementation of the P-credit program shall be final and binding on the
parties and not subject to the grievance process. Any claim concerning the arbitrary, capricious and/or discriminatory application of such regulations to individuals will be grievable and arbitrable.

**I. Reduction of Paperwork**

   Committees composed equally of representatives of the Board and the Union shall be established at the central, district and division levels to review and reduce unnecessary paperwork required of employees.

   Any proposed additional paperwork shall be reviewed by the appropriate level committee and such committee may make recommendations to the Chancellor, community superintendent or division head as appropriate. The Board shall not act unreasonably on the committee’s recommendations.

**J. Evaluation and Observation System**

   1. The UFT and the Board of Education are committed to attracting and retaining the most competent staff. To this end the Union and the Board established a joint committee which undertook a study to develop and design a high quality prescriptive evaluation and professional growth system that would give each staff member choices and a role in his/her professional growth.

   Following the study, the Union and the Board agreed upon such a system. The parties’ full agreement is embodied in the document entitled "Teaching for the 21st Century" and the attachments thereto, which is the definitive document regarding the evaluation/observation plan. Under that plan, performance reviews are based on assessment/evaluation procedures which identify and recognize the range of abilities and experiences of teachers and link a teacher’s performance, a school’s educational goals and related professional development activities. The reviews must be based on the agreed upon characteristics of good teaching, including consideration of positive student learning outcomes and parent involvement.

   2. The plan also provides the following:

   a. Where appropriate, the performance review must include clear and specific recommendations for professional growth.

   b. Annual performance reviews may be based on either of two models:

      The first model, known as Annual Performance Options (Component A), offers an individual teacher, in consultation with his/her supervisor, the opportunity to set yearly goals and objectives and to choose the methods for demonstrating professional growth. The annual performance options will be supported with appropriate follow-up professional development activities, as noted below.

      The second model, known as Formal Observations (Component B), is the traditional classroom observation by a principal or supervisor which includes pre- and post-observation conferences and written feedback/comments.

   c. In an individual decision between the teacher and the supervisor, satisfactory, tenured teachers may choose either Component A or B or both as the basis for the annual performance review. A process has been agreed upon to facilitate agreement in instances where a teacher and a supervisor have differences in professional judgment concerning the annual performance review option.

   d. New and probationary teachers who are new to a school, tenured teachers in danger of receiving an unsatisfactory rating, and tenured teachers who received an unsatisfactory rating the prior year must use Component B as the basis of their annual performance
review, although, with the principal’s concurrence, Component A may be utilized as a part of their performance review.

e. Each component, or combination, should be implemented in a way that promotes the agreed upon characteristics of good teaching.

f. The principal has final responsibility for rating a teacher’s performance.

g. The Board must inform parents of the agreed upon characteristics of good teaching and the principal must inform parents of the school’s procedures for evaluation of teachers.

h. Implementation of the plan has two phases. Phase I introduced and defined the new performance review model to all teachers, supervisors, superintendents, parents and other members of the school community. Phase II’s focus is on specific professional development activities and opportunities for individual teachers as they identify specific goals and objectives and/or areas for improvement. Any activities that require new and/or additional resources will be dependent on the Board and the Union jointly identifying, seeking and obtaining specific revenue sources.

i. The President of the Union and the Chancellor may agree to make adjustments to the plan as necessary, but in any event the parties commit to a formal review of all aspects of this article.

3. The provisions set forth in this Section I shall be subject to the grievance procedure and to arbitration only for the purpose of determining whether there has been a failure to comply with the procedural requirements contained in the plan. In the event that a teacher appeals a rating, the appropriate forum for claiming a failure to comply with procedures is the rating appeal process and not the grievance/arbitration procedure.

K. Referral of Students for Evaluations

Educators shall exercise proper discretion prior to referring students for evaluations, either for the provision of or decertification of special education services. To that end, the Board will maintain a work environment free from reprisals based upon the proper and professional exercise of responsibilities related to the identification of students who have or are suspected of having disabilities.

L. Labor/Management Committee On Long Term Reforms

With regard to the long term recommendations the 2005 Fact Finders made subject to adequate CFE funding, the parties shall establish a Labor Management Committee to discuss the following issues: a) bonuses, including housing bonuses, for shortage license areas; b) a pilot project for school-wide based performance bonuses for sustained growth in student achievement; c) salary differentials at the MA-5 through MA-7 levels; and d) a program for the reduction of class size in all grades and divisions. If the parties agree on the terms of any or all of these issues, they may be implemented by the Board using whatever funds may be identified.